WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

Derrick Dan Yazzie		_ Case Number:	CR 03-00584-PCT-DGC		
		with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a are established: (Check one or both, as a		een submitted to the Court. I conclude that the	
	the defe	endant is a danger to the community a	and requires the detention of the	e defendant pending disposition in this case.	
	the def	endant is a serious flight risk and requ	ires the detention of the defend	ant pending disposition in this case.	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe th	at the defendant has committee	d	
		an offense for which a maxin 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 4	num term of imprisonment of te	n years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§	
		an offense under 18 U.S.C.	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years or	. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin more is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of	
		an offense involving a minor	victim prescribed in	1	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the conditions will reasonably assure the	presumption established by fappearance of the defendant a	inding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant as		mbination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	(2)	No condition or combination of condi	tions will reasonably assure the	safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
	(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by	clear and convincing evidence	that he does not pose a risk of flight.	
			TATEMENT OF REASONS FOr neck one or both, as applicable.)	PR DETENTION	
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and ir as to danger that:	formation submitted at the hear	ing establish by clear and convincing evidence	
		·			

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 3:03-cr-00584-DGC Document 137 Filed 03/06/12 Page 2 of 2

The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculat to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the petition, except: In addition:		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the petition, except: In addition:		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the petition, except: In addition:		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
In addition:		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the petition, except:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Probation Office which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to the Probation Office at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify the Probation Office sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Probation an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: March 5, 2012

Steven P. Logan United States Magistrate Judge